



Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Corn and Soybeans in 2013 and 2014: Release of 2013 Costs and Reassessment of 2014 Returns

Gary Schnitkey

Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics
University of Illinois

July 1, 2014

farmdoc daily (4):122

Recommended citation format: Schnitkey, G. "Corn and Soybeans in 2013 and 2014: Release of 2013 Costs and Reassessment of 2014 Returns." farmdoc daily (4):122, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, July 1, 2014.

Permalink URL

http://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2014/07/corn-and-soybeans-2013-costs-reassessment-2014-returns.html

Revenues and costs for the 2013 cropping year have now been finalized and are reported in the publication entitled "Revenues and Costs for Corn, Soybeans, Wheat, and Double-Crop Soybeans" available in the management section of *farmdoc* (see here). Overall, costs increased in 2013 from 2012 levels (farmdoc daily June 3, 2014) and returns were lower in 2013 than in 2012. Projections are for much lower returns in 2014. The "Revenue and Costs" publication has revised measures of returns, as described in this article's first section. Then, perspective is given on potential changes to 2014 returns projections resulting from information contained in USDA's Acreage and Grain Stocks report released on June 30th.

Three Measures of Returns

The "Revenues and Costs" publication on *farmdoc* presents revenues and costs for producing corn, soybeans, wheat, and double-crop soybeans in three regions of Illinois: northern, central, and southern Illinois. Central Illinois is further divided into categories for high-productivity farmland and low-productivity farmland. Table 1 shows corn and soybean returns for 2012 and 2013 for central Illinois with higher productivity farmland. Table 1 also includes 2014 projections.

As can be seen in Table 1, three measures of returns are reported: operator and land return, farmer return, and net farmer profit. Operator and land return equals revenue minus non-land costs and where included in previous "Revenue and Costs" publications. Farmer returns and net farmer profits were not reported in previous publications.

As before, operator and farm return represents the return remaining to pay for farmland and to provide a return for the farmer. Division between land owner and farmer is easily illustrated for a cash rent situation. Take an operator and land return of \$384 per acre and a cash rent of \$290 per acre, as occurred for corn in 2013 (see Table 1). In this case, the land owner is compensated \$290 per acre (the cash rent) for use of the farmland while the farmer is compensated \$94 per acre (\$384 operator and land return minus \$290 of cash rent).

We request all readers, electronic media and others follow our citation guidelines when re-posting articles from farmdoc daily. Guidelines are available here. The farmdoc daily website falls under University of Illinois copyright and intellectual property rights. For a detailed statement, please see the University of Illinois Copyright Information and Policies here.

Table 1. Corn and Soybean Returns on Illinois Grain Farms in Central Illinois with High Productivity Farmland, 2012, 2013, and Projected for 2014.

	Corn				Soybeans		
	2012	2013	2014P		2012	2013	2014F
Yield per acre	126	197	196		50	58	5
Price per bu	\$6.93	\$4.65	\$4.20		\$14.66	\$13.25	\$10.7
Crop revenue	\$873	\$916	\$823		\$733	\$769	\$613
ARC/PLC or ACRE	0	0	10		0	0	(
Other govt payments	24	22	0		24	22	(
Crop insurance proceeds	295	61	0		26	6	(
Gross revenue	\$1,192	\$999	\$833	-	\$783	\$797	\$613
Fertilizers	200	193	163		68	65	5
Pesticides	49	66	60		39	40	40
Seed	108	114	119		69	73	7
Drying	16	24	23		1	1	
Storage	7	8	5		4	4	•
Crop insurance	25	27	27		17	18	1
Total direct costs	\$405	\$432	\$397		\$198	\$201	\$19
Machine hire/lease	10	11	11		9	9	
Utilities	5	5	5		4	4	
Machine repair	22	22	24		19	20	2
Fuel and oil	23	24	24		20	21	2
Light vehicle	2	2	2		1	1	
Mach. depreciation	55	63	66		48	55	6
Total power costs	\$117	\$127	\$132		\$101	\$110	\$11
Hired labor	14	16	17		13	15	1
Building repair and rent	8	6	7		6	5	
Building depreciation	9	5	6		8	9	1
Insurance	9	10	10		9	10	1
Misc	8	8	8		8	8	
Interest (non-land)	11	11	11	-	10	10	1
Total overhead costs	\$59	\$56	\$59		\$54	\$57	\$5
Total non-land costs	\$581	\$615	\$588		\$353	\$368	\$37
Operator and land return	\$611	\$384	\$245		\$430	\$429	\$24
Land costs	270	290	293		270	290	29
Farmer return	\$341	\$94	-\$48		\$160	\$139	-\$5
Imputed farmer costs	101	108	108		101	108	10
Net farmer profit	\$240	-\$14	-\$156		\$59	\$31	-\$16

Source: Available under "Historic Corn and Soybeans Returns" in the Handbook Chapters of the management section of farmdoc (www.farmdoc.illinois.edu).

This farmer compensation is called the "farmer return", and equals operator and land return minus land costs. In Table 1, land costs equal the average cash rent paid by farmers to land owners. This data is obtained from the Nationally Agricultural Statistical Service. Other means of controlling farmland, such as owning farmland or share-renting farmland, would have different costs than average cash rents. In the publication, farmer return represents the return to cash rent farmland, given that farmland is rented at the average level. Obviously, situations where cash rents are higher than the average level will result in lower farmer returns, and vice versa.

From farmer return is subtracted imputed farmer costs to result in net farmer profit. The imputed charges are for the farmer's equity capital and unpaid labor used in the operation. For example, farmers have equity capital invested in the operation. Interest for this equity capital is included at the current average interest rate on farm debt. Similarly, operators' labor is valued at the going charge for farm employees. Over time, a farmer needs to cover these imputed costs to provide compensation for capital and time invested in the farming operation.

Net farmer profit then equals farmer return minus imputed farmer costs. Over time, net farmer profits must be positive for the farmer to generate positive return for use of his or her resources. If net farmer profit is negative, a farmer could generate a higher return by not farming and investing equity capital in interest-bearing assets whose rate or return equals the average rate on farm debt, and taking an off-farm job generating an hourly wage equal to that of farm employees.

Farmer returns and net farmer profit are distinctly different measures of returns. Farmer return is close to an income statement measure of profitability given that farmland is cash rented. Non-land costs and land costs measured by cash rent represent the financial costs of operating and renting farmland. Net farmer profit is lower than farmer return because opportunity costs for equity capital are subtracted. This points out that having a positive farmer return does not imply that a farmer is generating a fair rate of return to capital and labor invested in the farming operation.

2014 Projections

Average farmer return for corn grown in central Illinois on high productivity farmland was \$341 per acre in 2012 and \$94 per acre in 2013 (see Table 1). Farmer return in 2014 is projected at -\$48 per acre. For soybeans, farmer return was \$160 per acre in 2012 and \$139 per acre in 2013. Farmer returns are projected at -\$52 per acre in 2014. Farmer returns have come down from high levels in 2012 to lower levels in 2013, to negative levels in 2014. Farmer returns like those in Table 1 have been computed for all years since 2000. If 2014 projections hold, 2014 would be the only year since 2000 when farmer returns are negative.

Prices used in making projections in Table 1 are \$4.20 per bushel for corn and \$10.75 per bushel for soybeans. These projections were made prior to the release of USDA's <u>Acreage</u> and <u>Grain Stocks</u> report released on June 30th. These reports contained information that was bearish for crop prices (<u>farmdoc daily June 30, 2014</u>). Hence, the projected prices may be too high, suggesting that returns contained in the projections are too optimistic.

Lower projected prices will lower income projections. Take for example, a \$4.00 corn price, \$.20 per bushel lower than the \$4.20 price used in Table 1. In this case, farmer return would equal -\$87 per acre, \$39 per acre lower than the -\$48 projection at \$4.20 corn price. Higher yields would increase return. To have a farmer return equal to \$0 per acre, yield would have to be 217 bushels per acre at a \$4.00 per bushel corn price

Summary

Final costs estimates for 2013 have been released on *farmdoc*, with a newly designed set or return measures. Returns in 2013 were lower than 2012. Farmer returns in 2014 are projected to be negative in 2014, the first time negative returns occurred in the 2000s. The June 30th reports suggest lower prices, which did not improve the return outlook. Negative returns became a higher probability after the June 30th reports.

Projections for 2014 will be updated as more information is revealed on price and yield levels.

References

Good, D. "Large Corn and Soybean Stocks and Large Crop Potential." farmdoc daily (4):121, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, June 30, 2014.

NASS/USDA. *Acreage*. Released June 30, 2014, accessed July 1, 2014. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/nass/Acre//2010s/2014/Acre-06-30-2014.pdf

NASS/USDA. *Grain Stocks*. Released June 30, 2014, accessed July 1, 2014. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/nass/GraiStoc//2010s/2014/GraiStoc-06-30-2014.pdf

Schnitkey, G. "Non-Land Costs for Corn Increased in 2013." farmdoc daily (4):102, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, June 3, 2014.

Schnitkey, G. "Revenue and Costs for Corn, Soybeans, Wheat, and Double-Crop Soybeans, Actual for 2007 through 2013, Projected 2014." Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, June 2014.